# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

	-05) 11-30 NG	
JEFFREY BAUER and MARY BAUER,		
Plaintiffs, V.	)	RECEIPT # AMOUNT \$ 2 5 0 SUMMONS ISSUED
WILLIAM K. HAAS,	)	WAIVER FORM
Defendant.	MAGISTRATE JUDGE RBC	MCF ISSUED BY DPTY. C.K. 7049 DATE 20 05

## PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

### **Parties**

- 1. The Plaintiff, Jeffrey Bauer is an individual whom resides at 30 Deerfield Lane South, Pleasantville, New York.
- 2. The Plaintiff, Mary Bauer, is an individual whom resides at 30 Deerfield Lane South, Pleasantville, New York.
- 3. Upon information and belief, the Defendant, William K. Haas is an individual whom resides at 1581 Ten Rod Road, Exeter, Rhode Island.

#### Jurisdiction

- 4. Jurisdiction is proper in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts pursuant to diversity jurisdiction as provided for by 28 U.S.C. § 1332.
- 5. The parties are citizens of different states with the Plaintiffs residing and holding their domicile in New York and the defendant residing and maintaining his domicile in Rhode Island.

The subject matter of the litigation surrounds the retention of a one hundred thousand 6. dollar (\$ 100,000.00) deposit paid by the plaintiff for the purchase of real property located in Tisbury, Massachusetts.

#### Venue

The events comprising this litigation have occurred in Massachusetts together with the 7. real property to which this litigation relates. In addition, the \$100,000.00 deposit which is the principal amount in controversy in this litigation currently being held in escrow in West Tisbury, Massachusetts within the United States District of Massachusetts.

#### Factual Background

- 8. On or about sometime in May, 2005, the plaintiffs and defendant entered into a binding Purchase and Sale Agreement (hereinafter "Agreement") for a plot of vacant land consisting of approximately 1.25 acres and situated along John Hoft Road located in Tisbury, Massachusetts.
- 9. The Agreement called for the defendant to "convey a good and clear record and marketable title thereto..."
- 10. The defendant could not convey clear and marketable title as the property did not contain a formal grant of access.
- The plaintiffs performed each and every obligation that they were required to perform 11. pursuant to the Agreement.
- 12. Paragraph 10 of the Agreement contained a provision that allowed for a brief extension of time for the defendant [seller] to perfect any title issues. The Agreement stated in pertinent part, "[i]f the Seller shall be unable to give title or to make conveyance, or to deliver possession of the Premises, all as herein stipulated, or if at the time of the delivery of the deed the Premises do not conform with the provisions hereof, then the Seller shall use reasonable efforts to remove any

defects in title, or to deliver possession as provided herein, or to make the Premises conform to the provisions hereof, as the case may be, in which event the Time of Closing shall be extended for a period of thirty (30) days or the expiration of the Buyers mortgage commitment date, whichever is sooner..."

- Paragraph 11 of the Agreement required that if at the expiration of the extended time 13. pursuant to paragraph 10 of the Agreement, the defendant had not cured the defects in title, then at the Buyer's option, the Agreement could be voided, and any payments made to be forthwith refunded leaving the parties without any further recourse pursuant to the Agreement.
- 14. The Closing was scheduled for 2:00 p.m. on June 28, 2005.
- 15. On June 28, 2005, the defendant could not convey clear and marketable title to the subject property because there was never an easement for access or some explicit grant of access provided to and recorded by the defendant.
- 16. The Plaintiffs' mortgage commitment expired on July 1, 2005.
- 17. Pursuant to the Agreement, the plaintiffs, through their attorney notified the defendant that they were canceling the Agreement and demanded the return of their deposit.
- 18. The deposit is being held by Tea Lane Associates as escrow agent for this transaction.
- 19. The defendant has refused to provide his assent to the return of the plaintiffs' deposit.

#### COUNT ONE - BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 20. The Plaintiffs reallege each and every allegation above stated as if fully restated herein.
- 21. The Agreement constituted a binding contract and obligation between the Plaintiffs and Defendant.
- 22. The Defendant has breached the contract by failing to perform under the contract and return or otherwise provide assent for the return of Plaintiffs' deposit.

As a proximate result of the defendant's actions, the plaintiffs have been damaged and 23. will likely sustain additional costs and consequential damages through the defendant's breach of contract.

## PRAYERS FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the plaintiffs pray that this Honorable Court:

- Enter judgment for the plaintiffs against the defendant; a.
- Award the plaintiff costs, interest, attorney's fees, and other such further relief as b. the Court deems just and proper.

## THE PLAINTIFFS DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL ISSUES AND MATTERS

Respectfully submitted,

Plaintiffs,

Jeffrey Bauer & Mary Bauer

By their Attorneys,

Gerry D'Ambrosio, Esq., BBO #564199

Peter A. Brown, Esq., BBO #654805

D'Ambrosio Law Offices

C-1 Shipway Place

Boston, MA 02109

T. 617-720-5657 F. 617-723-4967

DATED: July 19, 2005

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1.	Title of case	(name of first party on e	ach side only)	Jeffrey	Bu	<u>ver</u>	V	William	K. Haa
2.		which the case belongs	based upon the ni	umbered disulted s	uit coc e	از الر		cover sheet. (	Sea coal
	rule 40.1(a)(1	)).							
	l'	160, 410, 470, 535	. R.23, REGARDLI	ESS OF NATURE OF	SUIT.				
	U.			), 550, 555, 625, 710, 890, 892-894, 895, 9				AQ 120 or AO lemark or copy	
	M.	· · · · · · ·	, 345, 350, 355, 36	0, 240, 245, 290, 310 0, 362, 365, 370, 371					
	IV.	220, 422, 423, 430 690, 810, \$61-865,		0, 530 <b>, 6</b> 10, 620 <i>,</i> 630 ).	<b>, 840</b> , II5	0, 660,			
	v.	150, 152, 153.							
3.		nber, if any, of related case indicate the title and r				prior rela	ated case	s has been filed	i this
		None							
4.	Has a prior a	ction between the same	parties and base	d on the same claim	ever bac YES	en filed in	this cou NO	rt?	
5.	Does the con	nplaint in this case ques	stion the constitut	ionality of an act of	congre s	s affecting	the put	olic Interest?	(S e #8 USC
	If so, is the U	I.S.A. or an officer, agen	t or employee of t	he U.S. a party?	YES		NO	$\boxtimes$	
					YES		NO		
₿.	ls this case n	equired to be heard and	determined by a	district court of thre	e judges	pursuani	to title 2	8 USC §2284?	
					YES		NO	12	
7.	Do <u>all</u> of the Massachuser	parties in this action, ex tts ("governmental agen	ciuding governm cles"), residing it	ental agencies of the Massachusetts res	e united de in ih	states and e same di	d the Co	mmonwealth of · (See Local Ru	F ale <b>4</b> 0.1(d)).
					YES		NO	$\boxtimes$	
	A.	if yes, in which di	vision do <u>all</u> of the	non-governmental	parties r	eside?			
		Eastern Division		Central Division			West	em Division	ر آ
	В.	lf no, in which div residing in Massa	ision do the majo chusetts reside?	nity of the plaintiffs	or the on	ly parties,	, excludi	ng government	al Içiencies,
		F4		NIA	_				<b>-</b> -
		Eastern Division	<u>.                                    </u>	Central Division				orn Division	Lj
В,	If filing a Noti submit a sep	ice of Removal - are the arate sheet identifying t	re any motions pe he motions)	nding in the state co	our <b>t re</b> dfü	iring the :	attention	of this Court?	( yes,
					YES		NO		
•	EASE TYPE O	21.	A. Roy	<i>(11</i> 0					
	DRESS (-/	Shipman	Place	Rost	7	nn	02/	29	
	LEPHONE NO.	617-17	60 - 56	3 7	<del>*</del>		<u> </u>	<u>~</u>	
								(Category#orm w	

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS					
(b) County of Residence (EX	of First Listed Plaintiff <u>Westcheste</u> KCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	NYCounty of Residence of First Listed Defendant Washington County,  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.					
D'Ambrosio	Address, and Telephone Number) (617) Law Offices 720-5657 Place, Boston, MA 021						
	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff					
U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only)  PTF DEF  Citizen of This State					
2 U.S. Government Defendant	XD 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State X 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 5 of Business In Another State					
		Citizen or Subject of a 3 5 Foreign Nation 6 6 6 Foreign Country					
IV. NATURE OF SUIT							
CONTRACT  ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☒ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane   362 Personal Injury   Med. Malpractic Liability   365 Personal Injury   Product Liability   320 Assault, Libel & Slander   368 Asbestos Person Injury   Product Liability   1340 Marine   1345 Marine   PERSONAL PROPEI   1350 Motor Vehicle   370 Other Fraud   371 Truth in Lendin   355 Motor Vehicle   375 Motor Vehicle   1355 Motor Vehicle   1355 Motor Vehicle   1360 Other Personal   Property Damage   Product Liability   360 Other Personal   Product Liability   1355 Property Damage   Product Liability   1355 Property Damage   Product Liability   1360 Other Personal   1360 Other P	G20 Other Food & Drug   G25 Drug Related Seizure   G25 Drug Related Seizure   G40 Drug   G25 Drug Related Seizure   G40 Drug   G25 Drug Related Seizure   G40 Drug   G25 Drug Related Seizure   G40 Drug Property 21 USC 881   G40 Deportation   G40					
Original O 2 R	tate Court Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from another district (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment 7 Judgment 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment 8 Transferred from another district (specify) 8 Transferred from another district Litigation 1 Transferred from another district Litigation 1 Transferred from another district Supplies 1 Transferred from another district Litigation 1 Transferred from another district Supplies 1 Transferred from Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment 1 Transferred from another district (specify) 1 Transferred from Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment 1 Transferred from Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment 1 Transferred from Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment 1 Transferred from Appeal to District Judgment 1 Transferred from Appeal to District Indiana					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Brief description of cause:	and Sale Agreement due to refusal to return					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:							
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE	DOCKET NUMBER					
DATE	SIGNATURE OF A	ATTORNEY OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
RECEIPT# A	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE MAG. JUDGE					